arXiv:1409.6991v1 [cs.SY] 24 Sep 2014

An Extended Small-Gain Theorem

Yunsheng Li Chi Jin

September 25, 2014

1 Introduction

In [1] a interconnected system consisted of two sub-systems is studied, which leads to a generalized small-gain theorem, which gives the express of the gain of the output of the interconnected system w.r.t external input signals. However, sometimes we are interested in the gain from the input to the output of each sub-system. Such a result will offer more flexibility in control synthesis. For this purpose, in this article we provide a modified version of the Theorem 2.1 in [1].

Facts and Notations

- $|\cdot|$ stands for any vector norm.
- Id denotes the identity function.
- $||u||$ denotes the $ess.sup.\{|u(t)|, t \geq 0\}$ for any measurable function $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^m$.
- $u_{[t_1,t_2]}$ denotes the truncation of the function u:

$$y = \begin{cases} u(t) & \text{if } t \in [t_1, t_2] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

  and $u_T = u_{[0,T]}$.

- **Weak triangular inequality:**

$$\gamma(a+b) \leq \gamma \circ (Id + \rho)(a) + \gamma \circ (Id + \rho^{-1})(b) \tag{2}$$

  γ and ρ are functions of class K and K_∞, respectively. a and b are non-negative real numbers. In particular, let $\rho = Id$, we get: $\gamma(a+b) \leq \gamma(2a) + \gamma(2b)$.

- ρ is any function of class K_∞, then:

$$[Id - (Id + \rho)^{-1}]^{-1} = Id + \rho^{-1} \tag{3}$$

2 Definition and Main Results

Input-to-Output Practical Stability

Considering the following control system with x as state, u as input, and y as output:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x,u) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ y = h(x,u) & y \in \mathbb{R}^p \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

f and g are smooth functions.

Definition 2.1 *System (4) is said to have the boundedness observability(UO) property if a function α^0 of class K and a non-negative constant D^0 exist such that, for each measurable essentially bounded control $u(t)$ on $[0,T)$ with $0 < T \leq +\infty$, the solution $x(t)$ of (4) right maximally defined on $[0,T')$ satisfies:*

$$|x(t)| \leq \alpha^0(|x(0)| + \left\|(u_t^T, y_t^T)^T\right\|) + D^0, \forall t \in [0,T') \tag{5}$$

Definition 2.2 *System (4) is input-to-output practically stable(IOpS) if a function β of class KL, a function γ of class K, called a (nonlinear) gain from input to output, and a non-negative constant d exist such that, for each initial condition $x(0)$, each measurable essentially bounded control $u(\cdot)$ on $[0,\infty)$ and each t in the right maximal interval of definition of the corresponding solution of (4), we have:*

$$|y(t)| \leq \beta(|x(0)|, t) + \gamma(||u||) + d \tag{6}$$

when (6) is satisfied with $d = 0$, system (4) is said to be input-to-output stable(IOS).

Generalized Small-Gain Theorem

Considering now the following general interconnected system:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \dot{x_1} = f_1(x_1, y_2, u_1), & y_1 = h_1(x_1, y_2, u_1) \\ \dot{x_2} = f_2(x_2, y_1, u_2), & y_2 = h_2(x_2, y_1, u_2) \end{array} \tag{7}$$

where, for $i = 1, 2, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}, u_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p_i}$. The function f_1, f_2, h_1,and h_2 are smooth and a smooth function h exists such that:

$$(y_1, y_2) = h(x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2) \tag{8}$$

is the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y_1 = h_1(x_1, h_2(x_2, y_1, u_2), u_1), \\ y_2 = h_2(x_2, h_1(x_1, y_2, u_1), u_2). \end{array} \right. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose (7) is IOpS with (y_2, u_1) $(resp.(y_1, u_2))$ as input, y_1 $(resp.y_2)$ as output, and $(\beta_1, (\gamma_1^y, \gamma_1^u), d_1)$ $(resp.(\beta_2, (\gamma_2^y, \gamma_2^u), d_2))$ as triple satisfying (6), namely:*

$$\begin{array}{l} |y_1(t)| \leq \beta_1(|x_1(0)|, t) + \gamma_1^y(||y_{2t}||) + \gamma_1^u(||u_1||) + d_1 \\ |y_2(t)| \leq \beta_2(|x_2(0)|, t) + \gamma_2^y(||y_{1t}||) + \gamma_2^u(||u_2||) + d_2 \end{array} \tag{10}$$

Also, suppose that (7) has the UO property with couple (α_1^0, D_1^0) $(resp.(\alpha_2^0, D_2^0))$. If two functions ρ_1 and ρ_2 of class K_∞ and a non-negative real number s_l satisfying:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (Id + \rho_2) \circ \gamma_2^y \circ (Id + \rho_1) \circ \gamma_1^y(s) \leq s, \\ (Id + \rho_1) \circ \gamma_1^y \circ (Id + \rho_2) \circ \gamma_2^y(s) \leq s, \end{array} \right\} \quad \forall s \geq s_l \tag{11}$$

exist, then system (7) with $u = (u_1, u_2)$ as input, $y = (y_1, y_2)$ as output, and $x = (x_1, x_2)$ as state is IOpS and has the UO property (is IOS and has the UO property with $D^0 = 0$ when $s_l = d_i = D_i^0 (i = 1, 2)$).

More specifically, for each pair of class K_∞ functions (ρ_3, r_3^1), functions β_1' and β_2' of class KL, a function r_3^2 of class K, and non-negative constants d_i'(equal to zero when $s_l = d_i = D_i^0 = 0 (i = 1, 2)$) exist such that the system (7) is IOpS with the triple $(\beta_1' + \beta_2', r_1 + r_2 + r_3^1 + r_3^2, d_1' + d_2')$ where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_1(s) = (Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3)^2 \circ [\gamma_1^u + \gamma_1^y \circ (Id + \rho_2^{-1} \circ (Id + \rho_3)^2 \circ \gamma_2^u)](s) \\ r_2(s) = (Id + \rho_2^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3)^2 \circ [\gamma_2^u + \gamma_2^y \circ (Id + \rho_1^{-1} \circ (Id + \rho_3)^2 \circ \gamma_1^u)](s) \end{array} \right. \tag{12}$$

and the (nonlinear) gains from input u to the output components $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ are $(r_1 + r_3^1)$ and $(r_2 + r_3^2)$, respectively.

Remark 1 *Furthermore, if the sub-systems have Input-to-State Stable property, namely:*

$$|x_1(t)| \leq \beta_{x1}(|x_1(0)|, t) + \gamma_{x1}^y(||y_{2t}||) + \gamma_{x1}^u(||u_1||) \tag{13}$$

$$|x_2(t)| \leq \beta_{x2}(|x_2(0)|, t) + \gamma_{x2}^y(||y_{1t}||) + \gamma_{x2}^u(||u_2||) \tag{14}$$

where β_{x1} and β_{x2} are KL functions, and γ_{x1}^y, γ_{x1}^u, γ_{x2}^y, γ_{x2}^u are K functions. And the IOpS property is restricted to IOS property, then we can deduce that the interconnected system is also ISS and IOS.

3 Proof of Theorem

A first fact to be noticed is that (11) implies the existence of a non-negative real number d_3 such that:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\gamma_2^y \circ (Id+\rho_1)\circ\gamma_1^y(s) \le (Id+\rho_2)^{-1}(s)+d_3\\ \gamma_1^y \circ (Id+\rho_2)\circ\gamma_2^y(s) \le (Id+\rho_1)^{-1}(s)+d_3\end{array}\right\} \quad \forall s\ge 0 \tag{15}$$

with $d_3 = 0$ when $s_l = 0$.

Step 1 : *Existence and Boundedness of Solutions on* $[0,\infty)$. For any pair of measurable essentially bounded controls $(u_1(t), u_2(t))$ defined on $[0,\infty)$, for any initial condition $x(0)$, by hypothesis of smoothness, a unique solution $x(t)$ of (7) right maximally defined on $[0,T)$ with $T>0$ possibly infinite exists. Also, since (7) are *IOpS*, for any $\tau\in[0,T)$ and any

$$0\le t_{10}\le t_{20}\le t_{11}\le t_{21}<T-\tau \tag{16}$$

we have, using time invariance and causality,

$$|y_1(t_{11}+\tau)| \le \beta_1(|x_1(t_{10}+\tau)|, t_{11}-t_{10}) + \gamma_1^y(\left\|y_{2[t_{10}+\tau,t_{11}+\tau]}\right\|) + \gamma_1^u(\|u_1\|) + d_1 \tag{17}$$

$$|y_1(t_{21}+\tau)| \le \beta_2(|x_2(t_{20}+\tau)|, t_{21}-t_{20}) + \gamma_2^y(\left\|y_{1[t_{20}+\tau,t_{21}+\tau]}\right\|) + \gamma_2^u(\|u_2\|) + d_2 \tag{18}$$

For ease of notation, set $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^y$ and $v_i = \gamma_i^u(\|u_i\|)$. Then pick an arbitrary $T_0\in[0,T)$ and let

$$\tau = t_{10} = t_{20} = 0,\ t_{21} = T_0,\ t_{11}\in[0,T_0]. \tag{19}$$

By applying (2), (3) and using (15), we get successively

$$\|y_{2T_0}\| \le \beta_2(|x_2(0)|,0) + \gamma_2(\beta_1(|x_1(0)|,0) + \gamma_1(\|y_{2T_0}\|) + v_1 + d_1) + v_2 + d_2 \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le \beta_2(|x_2(0)|,0) + \gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1)\circ\gamma_1(\|y_{2T_0}\|)\\ &\quad + \gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})(\beta_1(|x_1(0)|,0)+v_1+d_1)+v_2+d_2\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le \beta_2(|x_2(0)|,0) + (Id+\rho_2)^{-1}(\|y_{2T_0}\|) + d_3\\ &\quad + \gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})(\beta_1(|x_1(0)|,0)+v_1+d_1)+v_2+d_2\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le (Id+\rho_2^{-1})(\beta_2(|x_2(0)|,0)+d_3\\ &\quad + \gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})(\beta_1(|x_1(0)|,0)+v_1+d_1)+v_2+d_2)\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Since T_0 is arbitrary in $[0,T)$ and the right-hand side of (23) is independent of T_0, $y_2(t)$ is bounded on $[0,T)$. By symmetry, the same argument shows that $y_1(t)$ is bounded on $[0,T)$. Since the x_1-*subsystem* and x_2-*subsystem* satisfy the UO property, we conclude that $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ are bounded on $[0,T)$. It follows by contradiction that $T=+\infty$.

Step 2 : *The IOpS Property*. Continuing from (23), we can establish bounds on the outputs in the following manner. From (2), for any function ρ_3 of class K_∞, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|y_2(t)| &\le (Id+\rho_2^{-1})(\beta_2(|x_2(0)|,0)+d_3+\gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_1(|x_1(0)|,0))\\ &\quad + \gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3)(v_1+d_1)+v_2+d_2)\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le (Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_2(|x_2(0)|,0)+\gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_1(|x_1(0)|,0)))\\ &\quad + (Id+\rho_3)(d_3+\gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_1^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3)(v_1+d_1)+v_2+d_2)\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

So, by symmetry, we have established:

$$|y_1(t)|\le\delta_1(|x(0)|)+\Delta_1,\quad |y_2(t)|\le\delta_2(|x(0)|)+\Delta_2 \tag{26}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1(s) &= (Id+\rho_1^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_1(s,0)+\gamma_1\circ(Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_2(s,0)))\\ \delta_2(s) &= (Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_2(s,0)+\gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3^{-1})(\beta_2(s,0)))\\ \Delta_1 &= (Id+\rho_1^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3)(d_3+\gamma_1\circ(Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3)(v_2+d_2)+v_1+d_1)\\ \Delta_2 &= (Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3)(d_3+\gamma_2\circ(Id+\rho_2^{-1})\circ(Id+\rho_3)(v_1+d_1)+v_2+d_2)\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

With these bounds on the outputs we can use the UO property to establish bounds on the states x_i. In particular, let $(\alpha_1^0, D_i^0), i = 1, 2$, be two couples satisfying (5) respectively for the subsystems (7). In this case any solution $x(t)$ of (7) satisfies, for all $t \geq 0$:

$$|x_1(t)| \leq \alpha_1^0 \left(|x_1(0)| + \left\|(u_{1t}^T, y_{2t}^T, y_{1t}^T)^T\right\|\right) + D_1^0 \tag{28}$$

$$|x_2(t)| \leq \alpha_2^0 \left(|x_2(0)| + \left\|(u_{2t}^T, y_{1t}^T, y_{2t}^T)^T\right\|\right) + D_2^0 \tag{29}$$

From (26), (28), (29), and (2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq (\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0) \circ (2Id + 2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2)(|x(0)|) + [(\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0)(2\,|u| + 2\Delta_1 + 2\Delta_2) + D_1^0 + D_2^0] \\ &:= \delta_3(|x(0)|) + \Delta_3 \\ &:= s_\infty \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

with δ_i and $\Delta_i (i = 1, 2)$ defined in (27).

With these bounds on the states, inequalities (26) can be completed as follows: Let

$$t_{10} = \frac{t}{4}, \quad t_{20} = \frac{t}{2}, \quad t_{21} = t, \quad t_{11} \in [\frac{t}{2}, t] \tag{31}$$

and substitute (17) in (18), so that we have, for any $t \geq 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$,

$$|y_2(t+\tau)| \leq \beta_2\left(s_\infty, \frac{t}{2}\right) + v_2 + d_2 + \gamma_2\left(\gamma_1\left(\left\|y_{2[t/4+\tau,\infty]}\right\|\right) + \beta_1\left(s_\infty, \frac{t}{4}\right) + v_1 + d_1\right) \tag{32}$$

Thus, by applying (2) and using (15), we obtain, for all $t \geq 0$ and $\tan \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |y_2(t+\tau)| \leq &\left[\beta_2\left(s_\infty, \frac{t}{2}\right) + \gamma_2 \circ (Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3^{-1})\left(\beta_1\left(s_\infty, \frac{t}{4}\right)\right)\right] \\ &+ (Id + \rho_2)^{-1}\left(\left\|y_{2[t/4+\tau,\infty]}\right\|\right) + \gamma_2 \circ (Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3)(v_1 + d_1) + v_2 + d_2 + d_3 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Note that the term between brackets in (33) is a function if class KL with respect to (s_∞, t). Further,

$$\gamma_2 \circ (Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3)(v_1 + d_1) + v_2 + d_2 + d_3 = [(Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3)]^{-1}(\Delta_2) \tag{34}$$

So we apply *Lemma A.*1 of [1] to (33) with τ fixed, $z(t) = |y_2(t+\tau)|, \mu = \frac{1}{4}, \lambda = (Id+\rho_3)$, and $\rho = (Id+\rho_2)^{-1}$. It follows, using symmetry, that two functions $\widehat{\beta}_1$ and $\widehat{\beta}_2$ of class KL exist such that, for all $t \geq 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$,

$$|y_1(t+\tau)| \leq \widehat{\beta}_1(s_\infty, t) + \Delta_1, \quad |y_2(t+\tau)| \leq \widehat{\beta}_2(s_\infty, t) + \Delta_2 \tag{35}$$

Now we define the following function on $\mathbb{R}_+^3$:

$$\sigma_1(s, \Delta, t) := min\{\widehat{\beta}_1(\delta_3(s) + \Delta, t), \delta_1(s)\} \tag{36}$$

then, for any function α of class K_∞ and for each (s, Δ, t), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(s, \Delta, t) &\leq \sigma_1(s, \alpha^{-1}(s), t) + \sigma_1(\alpha(\Delta), \Delta, t) \\ &\leq \widehat{\beta}_1(\delta_3(s) + \alpha^{-1}(s), t) + \delta_1 \circ \alpha(\Delta) \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

In view of (30) and (37), (26) and (35) imply, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1(t)| &\leq \sigma_1\left(|x(0)|, \Delta_3, t\right) + \Delta_1 \\ &\leq \widehat{\beta}_1\left(\delta_3(|x(0)|) + \alpha^{-1}(|x(0)|), t\right) + \delta_1 \circ \alpha(\Delta_3) + \Delta_1 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Based on the notation (12) we can get:

$$\Delta_1 \leq r_1\left(\|u\|\right) + \tilde{d}_1 \tag{39}$$

$$\Delta_2 \leq r_2\left(\|u\|\right) + \tilde{d}_2 \tag{40}$$

$$\Delta_3 \leq \left(\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0\right) \circ (4Id + 4r_1 + 4r_2)\left(\|u\|\right) + \left(\alpha_1^0 + \alpha_2^0\right)(4\tilde{d}_1 + 4\tilde{d}_2) + D_1^0 + D_2^0 \tag{41}$$

where

$$\tilde{d}_1 = (Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3) \circ (Id + \rho_3^{-1})[d_1 + d_3 + \gamma_1^y \circ (Id + \rho_2^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3) \circ (Id + \rho_3^{-1})(d_2)] \quad (42)$$

$$\tilde{d}_2 = (Id + \rho_2^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3) \circ (Id + \rho_3^{-1})[d_2 + d_3 + \gamma_2^y \circ (Id + \rho_1^{-1}) \circ (Id + \rho_3) \circ (Id + \rho_3^{-1})(d_1)] \quad (43)$$

Then, using (2) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \circ \alpha(\Delta_3) \leq \delta_1 \circ \alpha \circ (2\alpha_1^0 + 2\alpha_2^0) \circ (4Id + 4r_1 + 4r_2)(\|u\|) \\ + \delta_1 \circ \alpha((2\alpha_1^0 + 2\alpha_2^0)(4\tilde{d}_1 + 4\tilde{d}_2) + 2D_1^0 + 2D_2^0) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Now, giving any function r_3^1 of class K_∞, we can chose α such that:

$$\delta_1 \circ \alpha \circ (2\alpha_1^0 + 2\alpha_2^0) \circ (4Id + 4r_1 + 4r_2)(s) \leq r_3^1(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0 \quad (45)$$

(for example, $\alpha = (Id + \delta_1)^{-1} \circ r_3^1 \circ (Id + (2\alpha_1^0 + 2\alpha_2^0) \circ (4Id + 4r_1 + 4r_2))^{-1}$).
This in conjunction with (38), (39), (40) and (45)implies:

$$|y_1(t)| \leq \beta_1'(|x(0)|, t) + (r_1 + r_3^1)(\|u\|) + d_1' \quad (46)$$

where

$$\beta_1'(s,t) = \widehat{\beta}_1(\delta_3(s) + \alpha^{-1}(s), t) \quad (47)$$

$$d_1' = \tilde{d}_1 + \delta_1 \circ \alpha((2\alpha_1^0 + 2\alpha_2^0)(4\tilde{d}_1 + 4\tilde{d}_2) + 2D_1^0 + 2D_2^0) \quad (48)$$

By symmetry, we have:

$$|y_2(t)| \leq \beta_2'(|x(0)|, t) + (r_2 + r_3^2)(\|u\|) + d_2' \quad (49)$$

where

$$r_3^2 = \delta_2 \circ \delta_1^{-1} \circ r_3^1 \quad (50)$$

$$\beta_2'(s,t) = \widehat{\beta}_2(\delta_3(s) + \alpha^{-1}(s), t) \quad (51)$$

$$d_2' = \tilde{d}_2 + \delta_2 \circ \alpha((2\alpha_1^0 + 2\alpha_2^0)(4\tilde{d}_1 + 4\tilde{d}_2) + 2D_1^0 + 2D_2^0) \quad (52)$$

Finally, with the fact that $|y(t)| \leq |y_1(t)| + |y_2(t)|$ we obtain the $IOpS$ property for system (7) with the triple $(\beta_1' + \beta_2', r_1 + r_2 + r_3^1 + r_3^2, d_1' + d_2')$. When $d_i = D_i^0 = 0 (i = 1, 2)$ and $d_3 = 0 (i.e., s_l = 0)$, we get $d_1' = d_2' = 0$ implying the IOS property holds. The UO property for the interconnection follows from the UO property for each subsystem.

References

[1] Z.-P.Jiang, A.R.Teel, and L.Praly. Small-gain theorem for iss system and applications. *Math.Control Signals Systems*, 7(95-120), 1994.